

On the Entropy Increase in the Black Hole Formation

Tetsuya HARA^{*)}, Keita SAKAI, and Daigo KAJIURA^{**)}

Department of Physics, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto 603-8555, Japan

Abstract

The entropy increases enormously when a star collapses into a black hole. For the radiation dominated star, the temperature has decreased from the almost gravitational equilibrium one to the so-called black hole temperature. If we interpret this temperature decrease as the volume increase of the black hole, the entropy increase could be understood as the free expansion of radiation through the increased volume. Under this interpretation, it is derived that the entropy is proportional to the horizon surface area and the microscopic states of the black hole entropy is naturally understood as the statistical states of the enlarged phase space.

Since the research of black hole thermodynamics was born a little over three decades ago,^{1)–3)} several striking concepts have been accepted.^{4)–7)} One of them is that the black hole entropy is proportional to the surface area.^{8)–10)} However there are still questions about the fundamental concepts of the black hole entropy in its microscopic interpretation.^{11)–13)} In this letter, we investigate this problem through the point that the entropy has increased enormously after the black hole formation. In the following, units with $c = \hbar = G = k_B = 1$ are used, however in some cases the physical constants are expressed. @

The spherical radiation dominated massive star is considered to collapse due to its gravitational instability.^{14)–16)} As the gravitational energy is almost equal to the thermal energy, the relation $GM^2/r \simeq \epsilon V_\star/3$ is satisfied, where M , $V_\star$ and ϵ are the star mass, volume and radiation energy density, respectively. If we take $M \simeq \epsilon V_\star$, the relation $r \simeq r_g = 2M$ is derived in the final collapse stage of the black hole formation. If it is taken $r \simeq (3M/(4\pi\epsilon))^{1/3} \simeq r_g$, the temperature $T(= T_\star)$ is estimated as

$$T_\star \simeq m_{\text{pl}} \left(\frac{m_{\text{pl}}}{M} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (1)$$

where $\epsilon = \tilde{a}T_\star^4$ and $m_{\text{pl}} = \sqrt{\hbar c/G}$ are taken, being $\tilde{a} = \pi^2 k_B^4/(15\hbar^3 c^3)$. It shows $T_\star \propto M^{-1/2}$ and the total entropy $S \simeq sV_\star \simeq 4\tilde{a}T_\star^3 V_\star/3 \simeq 4Mc^2/(3T_\star)$ is expressed by

$$S \simeq \left(\frac{A}{\ell_{\text{pl}}^2} \right)^{3/4} \simeq 10^{57} (M/M_\odot)^{3/2}, \quad (2)$$

where $A = 4\pi r_g^2$ and $\ell_{\text{pl}} = \sqrt{\hbar c/G}$ are the black hole surface area and Planck length, respectively. It should be noted that entropy S is proportional to $A^{3/4}$, before black hole formation.

^{*)} E-mail: hara@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp,

^{**)} E-mail: i253037@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp

On the other hand, the Bekenstein-Hawking temperature and entropy of a black hole are $T_{\text{BH}} = 1/(8\pi M)$ and $S = \int d(Mc^2)/T_{\text{BH}} = M/2T_{\text{BH}} \propto A/(4\ell_{\text{pl}}^2) \simeq 10^{77} (M/M_\odot)^2$, respectively. Consequently the entropy has increased by an enormous factor $(A/\ell_{\text{pl}}^2)^{1/4} \simeq 10^{20} (M/M_\odot)^{1/2}$ during the black hole formation.

The massive star is to collapse even when $r \gg r_g$ and, if it is assumed to be adiabatic, the entropy is constant during the contraction before black hole formation. Because of $S \simeq M/T$ before and after the black hole formation, this entropy increase is mainly due to the temperature decrease. Before the black hole formation, the temperature is $T_\star \propto m_{\text{pl}} (m_{\text{pl}}/M)^{1/2}$ and, after the black hole formation, it becomes $T_{\text{BH}} \propto m_{\text{pl}} (m_{\text{pl}}/M)$.

If we consider that a black hole is mostly composed of black body radiation, the entropy increase could be understood through the relation $M \simeq \epsilon V \propto T_\star^4 V_\star \propto T_{\text{BH}}^4 V_{\text{BH}}$, then the black body temperature is related as $T_{\text{BH}} \simeq T_\star (V_\star/V_{\text{BH}})^{1/4} \simeq T_\star (m_{\text{pl}}/M)^{1/2}$, where V_{BH} is the effective black hole volume or the effective volume of the gravitationally collapsed object.¹⁷⁾ For $T_{\text{BH}} \ll T_\star$, V_{BH} is much greater than $V_\star$ as $V_{\text{BH}} \simeq V_\star (T_\star/T_{\text{BH}})^4 \simeq V_\star (M/m_{\text{pl}})^2 (\propto M^5)$.

If the formation process is adiabatic, the temperature changes as $T \propto (1/V)^{1/3}$ and it is no concern for us, because the entropy does not change. If the process is free expansion, the temperature changes appropriately as $T \propto (1/V)^{1/4}$, because the internal energy is constant ($U \propto T^4 V = \text{const}$), and/or, thermodynamically, the following relation is satisfied

$$\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial V}\right)_U = - \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_T / \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_V = \frac{1}{c_V V} \left(p - T \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)_V\right) = -\frac{T}{4V}, \quad (3)$$

where p and c_V are pressure and the specific heat at constant volume, respectively. Then the entropy increase could be interpreted as the free expansion of the radiation due to the volume increase by the black hole formation.¹⁷⁾ It should be noted that the volume within an event horizon for Kerr-Newman solution is almost infinite, whereas the surface area is finite.

This interpretation of the volume increase $V_{\text{BH}} \simeq V_\star (M/m_{\text{pl}})^2$ is supplemented by the following consideration. The uncertainty principle $\Delta x \Delta p \geq \hbar$ shows one massless particle energy Δe should satisfy the relation $\Delta e \simeq \Delta p c \geq \hbar c / \Delta x$. Taking $\Delta x \simeq r_g = 2M$, the upper limit of the particle number is given by^{18), 19)}

$$N_{\text{BH}} \simeq M/(\Delta e) \leq GM^2/(\hbar c) = (M/m_{\text{pl}})^2. \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, the number of single particle quantum states in phase space of a black hole is given by

$$n_{\text{BH}} \simeq V_{\text{BH}} (\Delta p)^3 / \hbar^3 \simeq (M/m_{\text{pl}})^2, \quad (5)$$

where $V_{\text{BH}} \simeq V_\star (M/m_{\text{pl}})^2$ and $V_\star \simeq (\Delta x)^3$ are used. Then the number of ways W to distribute the N_{BH} particles among the n_{BH} states is

$$W = (N_{\text{BH}} + n_{\text{BH}} - 1)! / (N_{\text{BH}}! (n_{\text{BH}} - 1)!). \quad (6)$$

Taking Stirling's formula $\ln n! \simeq n \ln n - n$, the entropy becomes as

$$S = \ln W \simeq (M/m_{\text{pl}})^2 \simeq A/l_{\text{pl}}^2. \quad (7)$$

Then, even if an object to become a black hole is a neutron star and the nucleon number $N_N \simeq M/m_N$ is much smaller than N_{BH} , the entropy becomes $S \propto A/l_{\text{pl}}^2$, taking into account the increase of the black hole phase space. It is the same for the massive star, where the photon number N_* is of order $N_* \simeq Mc^2/(k_B T_*) \simeq (M/m_{\text{pl}})^{3/2}$, which is much smaller than N_{BH} .

If we consider that a black hole is composed of massless particles with energy Δe , the temperature is on the order of $T \simeq \Delta e \simeq \hbar c^3/(GM) \simeq m_{\text{pl}} c^2 (m_{\text{pl}}/M)$.²⁰⁾ The entropy becomes $S \simeq M/T \simeq A/l_{\text{pl}}^2$ and the effective volume $V_{\text{ef}} \propto M^5$ is estimated from $S \simeq s V_{\text{ef}} \propto T^3 V_{\text{ef}} \propto V_{\text{ef}}/M^3 \propto M^2$, which also indicates the increase of the effective black hole volume. The relation $V_{\text{ef}} \propto M^5$ is also derived from $V_{\text{BH}} \simeq V_{\text{ef}} \simeq (\Delta x)^3 N_{\text{BH}} \simeq V_*(M/m_{\text{pl}})^2 \propto M^5$.

The problem is the definition and the interpretation of the black hole volume. Taking the appropriate distribution of matter, the effective volume of the collapsing object could be enlarged.¹⁷⁾ For the spherical configuration, the volume in general relativity is estimated as

$$V_{GR} \simeq 4\pi \int_0^R g_{rr}^{1/2} r^2 dr = 4\pi \int_0^R \frac{r^{1/2}}{\xi^{1/2}} r^2 dr, \quad (8)$$

where $g_{rr} = 1 - 2m(r)/r$ and $m(r)$ are the radial metric component in spherical configuration and the mass within radius r , taking $\xi = r - 2m(r) (> 0)$. The proper distance of the composed matter from its Schwarzschild radius is given by

$$D(r) = g_{rr}^{1/2} \xi = r^{1/2} \xi^{1/2}. \quad (9)$$

If we take the physical possible condition as

$$D(r) \geq \lambda(r), \quad (10)$$

where λ is the wave length of the matter(radiation), the volume V_{GR} becomes

$$V_{GR} \simeq 4\pi \int_0^R \frac{r^{1/2}}{\xi^{1/2}} r^2 dr \leq 4\pi \int_0^R \frac{r^3}{\lambda(r)} dr, \quad (11)$$

since $\xi^{-1/2} \leq r^{1/2} \lambda^{-1}$. Even if we take $\lambda \simeq l_{\text{pl}}$, $V_{GR} \leq R^4 \simeq M^4$. So there is no good ways to derive $V_{GR} \simeq M^5$, before the black hole formation.

After the black hole formation ($2m(r) \geq r$), it is difficult to estimate the volume within the horizon, where time and radial coordinates are interchanged. If we take the time coordinate as spacelike and take the evaporation time of the black hole ($t_{ev} \simeq M/(r^2 T^4) \simeq M^3$)^{3), 18), 19)} as the upper limit of the coordinate t , the effective volume within the black hole is estimated as $V_{BH} \simeq r^2 t_{ev} \simeq M^5$.

At the fundamental level, black holes are genuine quantum objects, where the de-Broglie length corresponding to the black hole temperature is comparable to the

gravitational length, it is necessary to include the non local effect of the quantum mechanics for its entropy interpretation.

From the above consideration, the microscopic states of black hole entropy could be interpreted as the standard statistical state number in the phase space and the entropy could be taken as the extensive state quantity, even if it is proportional to the horizon surface area, if we accept the increase of the effective black hole volume. The enormous entropy increase and temperature decrease through the black hole formation are understandable as the free expansion of composed particles to this increased black hole volume.

References

- 1) J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **7** (1973), 2333.
- 2) J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter, and S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **31** (1973), 161.
- 3) S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43** (1975), 199.
- 4) R. M. Wald, in *Black Holes and Relativistic Stars*, ed. R. M. Wald, (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1998), gr-qc/9912119.
- 5) V. P. Frolov and I. D. Novikov, *Black Hole Physics* (Kluwer Acad. Pub, Dordrecht, 1998).
- 6) S. Mukohyama, Ph D thesis, Kyoto University (1998), gr-qc/9812079
- 7) Don N. Page, hep-th/0409024.
- 8) C. Kiefer, astro-ph/0202032.
- 9) T. Jacobson and R. Parentani, Found. Phys. **33**(2003), 323, gr-qc/0302099.
- 10) Kip S Thorne, R. H. Price, and D. A. Macdonald, *Black Holes : The Membrane Paradigm* (Yale University Press, New Heaven, 1986).
- 11) J. D. Bekenstein, in *Proceedings of the VII Marcel Grossmann Meeting* (World Scientific Press, Singapore, 1996), 39, gr-gc/9409015
- 12) A. D. Helfer, Rept. Prog. Phys. **66**(2003), 943, gr-qc/0304042.
- 13) R. Bousso, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**(2002), 825, hep-th/0203101.
- 14) R. Kippenhahn and A. Weigert, *Stellar Structure and Evolution* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990).
- 15) B. J. Carr and S. W. Hawking, Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc. **168**(1974), 399.
- 16) S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, 1972).
- 17) R. D. Sorkin, R. M. Wald, and Z. Zhen Jiu, Gen. Rel. Grav. **13**(1981), 1127.
- 18) W. H. Zurek and Kip S. Thorne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54** (1985), 2171.
- 19) A. Tomimatsu, *Black Hole and Space-Time* (Kyoritsu Pub. Co. Tokyo, 1985, in Japanese).
- 20) F. Scardigli, Nuovo Cimento, **110 B**(1995),1029.